1877-78.
(L.R.).

File.No.49
Serial.No: 1-27.

Sub: Grants of Kodigi Inam
Land in the Halaganahalli
village, Gowribidanur Taluk
to Govinda Havadhani

(90)

H 93A 9vi-93

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L.R 4A 1867-8

1877-78

191.

(Land Rev)

File No. 49.

Serial Nos. 27

Later references.

L.R 200-22 (1-18)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—27

Part B for August 97

SUBJECT.

Grant of Kudgee Inam Land in the Halagamakalle village Gorebidnur Taluk to Govinda Avadhanee—

Permanent Preservation

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Note

For 1st Note on this subject
see Vol 6 82
Vide L.R. 4 of 1847

33. The orders noted under ~~para~~ the previous para were communicated to the Commr of the Nandydroog Division in the Chief Commissioner's Prap. No 2099/41 of the 21st June 1878.

34. The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur, to whom a copy of the Survey Commissioner's letter No 538 dated 16th April 1877 was sent as desired, reports on the Complaint petition of Adinarayya, Kadigidar of Kalaganahalli now transferred to the Goribidnore Taluk of the Kolar District, regarding non-payment to him of the amount of Compensation mentioned in the last quoted Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner & forwards certain records from which the following facts are disclosed:—

c 53 dated 26 April 1882.

1. That Notices were issued to petitioner & his Co-sharers on the 19th July 1878 as admitted by themselves in their petition of 6th July 1880 to the Dy Comr. Now amongst the records of the Case directing the

to settle their disputes regarding the division of the amount of compensation within 6 months & that on their failure to do so no further claims on their parts would be afterwards admitted by Govt.

(2) that the petitioner & his co-sharer did not act up to the terms of the said notices, and

(3) that 2 years hence petitioner & Viligiri Iyengar son of Rangaswamiayyar (petitioner's co-sharer) appeared before the Dy Comr & presented a petition stating that they were willing to receive the amount of compensation offered by Govt. But the Dy Comr took no notice of it.

The Dy Comr also forwards copy of letter No 78 of 29 August 1880 from Executive Engineer who reports that the tanks for which the petitioner & his co-sharer claim compensation are not in good order & the Amildar states that the repair of the tanks would require about Rs 2000. In the file of papers submitted, there is an unsigned draft (English) of the Dy Comr Mr Sheshadri stating that the Inam was still undefined & the kat did was not investigated by the Inam Depty.

35 The Assistant Superintendent [illegible] to the last mentioned [illegible] dated 8th March 1885

any further responsibility of keeping the tanks in order, but would be entitled to three-fourths of the one-fifth, or the three-twentieths of the income under the tanks:

(2) ⅖ the Government would give only one-half of one-fifth of the produce as ascertained above, i.e., the Government would allow the enfranchisement by half quotient on the ground that the tanks are not reported to be in good repair, the petitioner would even then have no objection to taking one-tenth of the income under the tanks, provided it is clearly understood that the present and future repair of the tanks would be carried out by Government alone, and the petitioner should be entitled to a further grant of one-tenth with the power of alienation by sifi &c. and the arrears of Punchamchissa up to the end of Chithabhānu are paid to him:

(3) If the Government should be pleased to allow it, the Petitioner has no objection to accepting a sum equal to twenty times the Revenue below the said tanks in consideration of his relinquishing his claims to the tanks altogether. In such case also the Petitioner would beg that the Punchamchissa due to him from 1878-79 to 1882-83, amounting to nearly 1140 Rs. should be directed to be paid to him. Then he will undertake the repairs and bring the tanks

35. In reply, the Dewan in his Progs: No 130/101 dated 12th May 1882 ordered that the farmers appeals which were not then allowed could not now be given effect to & that the claim should be settled again on its merits — The Dy Comr of Tumkur was further asked to report the nature & cost of work done for which the Inam was granted The Dewan also stated that the 1/5th share should be calculated on the average annual realizations from the cultivation under the tank less the value of the original cultivation under it & the cost of repairs of the tank — or according to the principles of the Inam settlement, the amount payable free from cost of repairs would be only one-half of the one-fifth, & the 20 years' purchase money should be calculated upon this only — The Dy Comr was requested to enquire and report which of

tuns — a ready money payment
or 1/10th of the annual
net collections every year —
the Kadirgars would prefer-
waste lands under the
-k were available, an
-tent of them of the required
value may be given in Inam
on the Kadigi tenure on the
Inamdars undertaking to
keep the work in repair -

36. In reply to the Demands
-edings adverted to in the
preceding para, the Dy. Coll. of
Salar submits Vernacular papers
with a detailed English memo from
Amildar Veeraswmi Mudaliar
-rom which it appears that
the Inam was originally granted
in Fasl 1830 to one Govindavadani
-restoring 2 old tanks in
Nagamahalli on condition that
-pon they were restored, 1/5th share
of the cultivated wet land below
-e tanks should be given them
in Inam - Repairs to the Extent of
3000 Rs were done before 1834 when
orders were issued for the
payment of Paichaum Kissa from 1833
in the Battaji produce of such
lands as were brought under
Exclusive of those that were
dry under cultivation

No 382/169 dy 28th June 1883.

previous to this & each and until completion of the work when land-equivalent was to be given - This payment in Battazi produce was continued till 1852 when payment was ordered to be made in money equal to $\frac{1}{5}$ of the assessment on the lands - The [illegible] during 43 years, the Pancham Kissa varied from Rs 59-9-4 to Rs 252-9-1 annually - On the death of the original trustee his son Adinarayya took, with the permission of Sadh Rangasami Iyengar as his partner undertook $\frac{4}{5}$ths share in the Inam - This has been registered in the Sadh account of 1862 - Under the new responsibility the works were better attended to & the capacity of the tanks also increased & with a view to increase the capacity of the tanks the Panakini Channel which had fallen into disuse was Enlarged the Kadigidars agreeing to pay Enhanced water rates - The tank bunds were improved in 1868-69, the Kadigidars complained of the Iron-measurement of the works - But nothing was done till 1871 when

The Stone & Earth work were
inspected & pronounced good.
The big tank required Stone Earth
work & the small one required
a Sluice. and the Taluk Sheristadar has furnished an estimate for Rs 27:7 for these works.
The matter of granting
Inam dropped here when in 1875
it was revived with another
which cropped up by the
unauthorised Excavation in
1873 by Adinarayappa of a
new Channel for irrigation
on Kadiravahalli lands.
The Taluk authorities estimated
the Channel to take up 10 candies
of land paying 50 abo Rs each
including of Panchama Inisa-
On an Enquiry before the Dy
Comr Adinarayappa stated that
he had excavated the Channel
to supply the hitherto insufficient
supply & thus to enabled the
raising of double crop Stone
Extent & that any
Excess water might be used
for lands of other villages
thereby bringing in additional
revenue to Govt in the form
of water rate. Upon this the
Dy Comr ordered that a sum
of Rs 80 due on 8 candies should
be collected annually from
Adinarayappa until such
additional Extent on portions

4

thereof was taken up by the
ryots or Kadisidar when a
proportionate reduction should
be made in the said demand
& that the supply of this Channel
should not be drawn from
the old channel a fact affecting
its flow in any way - As an
addl. revenue of 80 Rs would
accrue from water rate from
these villages & as no lands were
available in Kalaganahalli,
Adinarayappa requested that
he might be granted waste
lands in Kadrenahalli &
Kurgodi - This was refused
& the amount of 80 Rs was
ordered to be levied annually
till the Survey Settlement when
land with should be granted.
The Settlement Officer found
no lands available & advised
Adinarayappa to apply to the
Dy Comr who declined to interfere
- Here with farmer ordered -
Finally, when the Taluk
authorities did not know
against what lands the
said demand of Rs 80 was to be
shown, the ~~Dy Comr~~ Dy Comr ordered
to prepare the Jamabandi
accounts according to the

Settlement papers have not yet been issued. Final orders on this point ~~The Auditor requested sanction for to the payment of the Pancha Mirasi in deposit for Devara & the proportion in which the same is to be paid to Adinarappa and Rangasamiayar.~~

With regard to the 2nd point quoted in the Devaraja Pro: in para 36 as to how the 1/5 share Kodigi is to be calculated, the Dy Coun submits a statement shewing the revenue of the tanks for the last 20 years with its average along with the amounts for 1/5 & 1/10 share, or 20 times the capitalized sum. as under.

Total revenue for 20 years from 1862-63 to 1881-82	22,031-11-0
Average of do —	1,101-9-4
1/5 of the average —	220-5-1
1/10 of do ———	110-2-6
20 times 1/10 of do ———	2,203-2-0.

Adinarappa having resigned his 1/5 share in the Kodigi to Rangasami Iyengar, who is now dead, Rangasamiyengar's sons have been claiming the Inam - Amongst them, Srinivasa Iyengar, the eldest, appeared before the Dy Coun of Kolar to represent his case & filed an English petition which is in the file & said he would abide by any one of the following

following terms: —

(1) that, if such Enfranchised the Inam imposing ¼ quit rent or allowing him to enjoy ¾ of the ⅕ of the revenue under the tanks, he would repair & handover the tanks to such without further liability—

(2) that, if such Enfranchised the Inam on ½ of ⅕ revenue, he might be exonerated from the responsibility of upkeep, paying him the arrears of Pancham Nissa up to the end of Chittrabhanu 1882-83—

(3) that he was willing to receive the Capitalised sum of 20 times the Pancham Nissa & relinquish his claims to the tanks of the arrears mentioned be paid to him, and

(4) that he would repair & keep the tanks in repair if he is allowed to enjoy the Pancham Nissa as originally granted—

The Dy Comr reports that the Kodikidars cannot get the Inam Enfranchised at ¼ quit rent under the Inam

Inam Rules. With regard to the last term the Dy Conr does not recommend the renewal of Kodigi as the Kodigidars are not now residents of the village. So the Dy Conr suggests that either the 2d or 3d of the above proposals may be conceded. Under the second the Govt have to pay the Kodigidars annually Rs 110-2-6 in cash & this arrangement will, ~~this~~ the Dy Conr observes, give room to fraud on the part of the Village Servants & confusion in the accounts. So after all the Dy Conr considers the payment of a lump sum be the best sort of disposal of the question. The claim set forth by Rr Biligiri Iyengar for Panchama Heriza from 1878 to 1883 has also to be settled. The Panchama Heriza for Eswara (1877) Rs 236-14-0 appears due in deposit in the Treasury and the Amildar requests sanction to the payment of the same & the proportion for which it should be paid to the Kodigidars Pending the settlement of this question, the Dy Conr reports that Rr Biligiri Iyengar has

b

has withheld the payment
of Govt. of Kandayam due
on his kutta lands at Kalagam-
-halli & other villages ——
up to Chitterabhanu 1877-8
amounting to Rs. 724-8-5.
The arrears (420-15-6) due
by Adimaruppah were
recovered by the sale of all
his lands & the balance of
Rs 288-0-2 was remitted
by the Jamabandi Officer
Mr Panchanatha Rao —

61/0 dy 5th April 1885

37. The Dy Comr of
Kolar solicits early orders
on the question of payment
of Panchama Nissa to Mr
Srinivasa Iyengar as the
arrears of Kandayam
due by him are yearly
increasing while his
claim to Panchama Nissa
is becoming heavier

to dy 5th March 1885

38. The Inam Supdt.
to whom also was
communicated Revenue Proc:
No 1307/101 dy 12th May 1882
(see para 35 above) reports

that the Imam has not been
brought in the Imam requests
pending the receipt of a reply
from the Dy Comr of Kolar
requesting that he informed
of the result of the correspondence
between him & the Secretary
to the Dewan regarding the
payment of compensation
to the Kadigidars in question

which has been before the
Revenue authorities since 1834

order:

The claim of Kodigiddas Adi-
-narandappa and Rangarami
Iyengar to the Kodigi Enam
under the Halaguinahalli tank
in the Sorikedanur Inlug is a
peculiar case. The title deed
under which the claim is set
up sanctions a fifth share in
the land irrigated by the tank
which the Kodigi Enam were
bound to repair at a certain
cost. That this cost had ever
been incurred cannot now
be proved: the tank however is
not in a thorough state of
repair and the Inam deed granting
the Enam does not burden it
with the cost of maintenance.
The Enam has not been allowed.
During the Battaji tenure, the
Jeut appears to have paid the
Enamdar ⅕ of the share in the
produce & on the introduction
of the money payment, the same
proportion was paid out of

The case seems to be
a simple one. It
is a fact that the Inrs
did repair the tank at
a considerable cost, though
the exact amount is not
known. The tank is pretty
in good condition, though
it needs some more repairs,
& the Inrs are entitled to
⅕ of the assts of the lands
cultivated under the tank.
We cannot confirm the Inams
separately as no land has
been allotted. The tank
must be treated as a
private tank, & the Inrs

8

18

with respect to the above
instructions, after previ-
-ous Enquiry into the
validity of the Exam. of

the money collection of the
Agent. There was an attempt
made to keep up the Enam
tenure by payment of a
lump sum equivalent to
20 ~~years~~ times the profits share,
an arrangement which the
Emandars did not consent to.
Right of Jamindar to assign
land in lieu of money, so
all the land under the tank
is unstocked like in the possession
of ryots whom it will rob the
fair & Dr powers.

Under such circumstances,
there is no other ~~alternative~~
settlement possible
than the one of paying the
Emandar an annual
sum equal to 1/5 the assets
on actual collection under
the tank minus the collection
under it prior to the issue of the
Enam. This payment however
must be limited to one life
only as the Emandars have got
the burden of maintaining
the tank, the option of surrendering

allowed ~~1/5~~ a
remission ~~of~~ of 1/5 and
with arrears, on the usual
condition that
the Dues should keep
up the tank. —

Arrears due from
the Dues should be
collected, unless
the remission deal:
They may be treated
as ole Choultri Tanks
are.

Order may
be issued to this effect.

22/3/93

to us, the
owners of the
tank may
be asked
to send the
usual statement
for sanction.

Why?
By mistake
of

which being given Attention in
which case the Enam may
be confirmed as money
grant, Kadiri Enam.

The Enam Supt is requested
to dispose of the case

9

Mscr. d'Ai 92

adus written
16. 5. 79 hms

Precis of papers connected with the Kodigi Inam of Halaganahalli, Goribidnur Taluk.

1. The above Inam originated as follows. On the 1st of november 1830 H.H. the late Maha Rajah issued a Nirupa to the Amildar of Mudgiri ordering the Halaganahalli village to be granted on Kraya (Progressive rent) for a period of five years to one Govindavadhani & in the event of the latter's rebuilding two tanks belonging to the village at a cost of 2000 Pagodas, the lands below them were to be Classified fourfold & one-fifth of the total extent after reduction the jari Inamties made over to Govindavadhani.

2. In 1834 an order was issued from the Dewan Cutcherry, directing the grant of one-fifth of the produce of the Battayi land under the tanks in question provided Govindavadhani had done as he alleged repairs to the extent of 3000 Pagodas to the tanks. This order was carried into effect. In 1851 this fifth share in the produce was converted into a fifth share of the money assessment in so far as the Battayi Assessment had been replaced by Nirgadiyat.

3. Govindavadhani died & his Son Adivaranappah being in pecuniar difficulties & requiring

Halaganahalli tank was recently transferred to the Goribidnur Taluk.

1 Registered Nos 199 & 200.
To report No. 336 of the overseer dated 16 Sep 71 is attached a Map shewing the position of the tanks. The map is marked 22 in red pencil.
2 The Nirupa Contains nothing about after maintenance by the Kodigidar as a Condition of the grant.

These are understood conditions.
Del 22/8/93

Chief Commr's order No. 91 dated 20 Oct 51.

C. C's order No. 12
dated 31st Jan. 1861.

No. 1519.

The Amildar praises & estimates the cost of the repairs at about 3600 Rs whereas the overseer strongly condemns the existing condition of the tanks.

Deputy Lep't C's letter No. 653/371
dated 15th Sep 1871.

3600 Rs more for Completing the repairs to the tanks took into partnership one Hoskote Rangasamiengar & this arrangement was sanctioned by Govt & the Kodigi was registered in both their names four-fifths of the Pancham Hissa being granted to Rangasamy Iyengar & the remaining one-fifth to Adinarayana the payments to commence after the Completion of the repairs.

4. In July 1870 the Kodigidars petitioned the Chief Commr stating that eight years had elapsed since the repairs agreed upon were executed by them at a cost of 5000 Rs though the stipulated sum was only 3600 Rs & praying for a transfer of $\frac{1}{5}$th of the cultivated lands under the tanks as per the late Maha Rajah's Sunnud, as well as Panchama Hissa for the past eight years.

5. on the above an investigation was set on foot in the Course of which the Amildar & the overseer gave Conflicting accounts of the quality of the repairs & the State of the tanks generally, but after a personal inspection of the tanks both the Executive Engineer & the Dep't Sup't of Tumkur reported favorably on the tanks alleging that the larger & more important

tank had a good source of water-supply from the Pennar river & though it was impossible to estimate accurately the amount spent in the repairs they yet gave the Kodigidars Credit for having done the repairs neatly & well & brought the tanks up to standard.

6. on the strength of these reports the Chief Commr ordered that of the 136 Kandies that had been brought under Cultivation one-fifth should be handed over to the Kodigidars on condition however that Govt might, any time they chose, divert the waste water from the Halayanakelly tank by means of a waste-weir into the Yarkahalli tank below.

7. But as it was found impossible to assign lands to the Kodigidars without encroaching upon the rights of existing Cultivators, the Chief Commr passed orders to the effect that one-fifth of the total assessment of the wet lands under the tank might subject to existing Conditions, be paid to the Kodigidars or if in Case of the latters' willingness to relinquish their right in favor of Govt

the total area under the tanks after deducting 7 Ka. 14 Koo. 4 pu was Kandies 152 " 8 " 12 of wh the latter 136 " 1 " 11 was brought under Cult n

C. C/ No. 3898/6 dated 2nd November 1871.

No. 1913/49 dated 23 May 77

they might be allowed to Capitalize their annual cash allowance of 273 Rs 5 as 7 pies at 20 times its amount viz 5466-15-8 & divide the amount in accordance with their own agreement.

8. Finally in pursuance of the Chief Commr's proceedings No. 9620/43 ordering the enfranchisement of all Kodigi Inams it was ordered that the above sum of 5466-15-8 should be awarded in Compensation to the Kodigidars & a notice issued to them that if they failed to settle their disputes & claim their respective compensations within six months from the date of service of the notice no further claims on their part would be entertained by Govern.t.

8. Meanwhile pending the receipt of an answer from the Kodigidar the Amildar withheld the annual Panchama Hissa & his action was confirmed by the Dep.t Commr on the 16th April 1880.

9. on the 6th July 1880 Adinaranappah & the representatives of Ranga-sam Iyengar marginally noted came to a written agreement to divide the Compensation awarded in the proportion of 337 Rs 8 as

x i.e 1/5 the Ryots agreed to let Gardenlands being the Ryot's garden at present & let the Ryot's garden at Inams the Ryot's garden at Ryotwaly Assessment &c

1 C. C's proceedings No. 2099/41 dated 21st June 1878.

Amildar's report dated 27 June 1879 to Dep.t Commr explaining why he withheld Panchm Hissa from the yr Iswara 1877 downwards.

Biligiri Iyengar
Alasing Iyengar
Ramasami Iyengar

on 1871 the then Executive
ngineer reported it was
impossible to estimate the cost of the
ork, the repairs having been
inished 8 years prior to his enquiry.

Should report what was the
nature & cost of the work
for wh the Inam was gra
The fifth share sanctioned
pot ers Shd be calculated
upon the average annual
realisations from the culti
under the tank less the value
of the original cultivation
under it prior to the Kodgidars
coming in the field & from
this Shd be deducted the cost
of the repairs of the tank or
Assuming the principles of th
Inam Settlement the amount
payable free from the Cost
of repairs would be only one
half of the one-fifth & the 2
years' purchase money Shd
be calculated only upon this
amount. The Dept Commr
will report which of the
two alternatives the Kod
would prefer - a ready
money payment or 1/10th
of the annual net Collection
As it is presumed there may
be unoccupied waste land
under the tank since the
famine an extent of land
of the required value out
of the above may be granted
in Inam on the Kodigi tenure
on the Inamdar's under-
taking to keep the work
in repair?

nd for this purpose was not
ailable in 1878 & the result was
s proceedings 2099/41 dated 21st June 78,
ving Compen

to the former & 5129-7-8
to the latter & presented the
same on the 7th to the Dep.y Comm.r
10. The Dep.y Comm.r thereupon
ordered a local inspection of
the tanks by the Executive
Engineer & the Amildar & as
its result, the former in his
No. 780 of the 29th Aug 1880
pointed out sundry defects
& the latter stated that to put
the tanks into a thorough
No. 202 of 6th Sep 80. State of repair Rupees 2000
would be necessary. The
Dep.y Comm.r was of opinion
that the petitioners having
failed to Comply with the
terms of the offer Contained
in C.C.'s proceedings No.
2099 21st June 1878, the
4 Govt was no longer
bound to pay the large
Sum of 5416-15-8 for
the resumption of an
unsettled & undefined
Inam of much smaller
value the kuk to which
had not been even
investigated by the Inam
Dept.
11. Govt thereupon ordered
No. 1307/101 12 May 82. as follows. 'The former
offers w.h were not not then
accepted Cannot now be
given effect to, but the
Case sh.d be settled anew
on its merits. The Dep.t Com.r

12. Meanwhile Adinaranappah fell into arrears as regards the sum of ₹ 20-15-6 the Kandayam due by him to Govt for puttah lands owned by him in the villages of Halganhalli & Kadrenahalli[1]. A Revenue sale for the recovery of these arrears fetched 132-15-4 & the remainder was remitted by the Jamabundy officer. The one-fifth of the Pancham Hissa granted to him, he mortgaged to his partner, so that Biligiri Iyengar is now the sole & undisputed claimant for the Pancham Hissas withheld from him since Iswara, pending the settlement of the question of compensation. Biligiri Iyengar too has fallen into arrears for Govt lands held by him to the extent of 724-8-5 & the details of the Pancham Hissa claimed by him & the Kandayam due by him are given in the margin. He has withheld payment of his Kandayam pending the settlement of his claims to Pancham Hissa.

13. In pursuance of the Govt order quoted in the last para but one the Depty Commr on the 15th Feb 83 called upon Biligiri Iyengar to submit his desires in the matter of his claims as Kodigidar. The latter thereupon made the following four proposals any one of which he promised to abide by. (1) if Govt enfranchise the Inam at ¼ quit rent or allow him to enjoy ¾ of the ⅕ of the revenue under the tanks he will repair the tanks

[1] for the years Dhatu, Iswara Bahudhanya & Pramathi.

Pancham Hissa claimed by Biligiri Iyengar.

years		amount
Iswara	77-78	236-14-0
Bahudanya	78-79	213-6-9
Pramadi	79-80	229-13-7
Vikrama	80-81	230-0-9
Vishu	81-82	230-0-9
Chitra Bhanu	82-83	230-0-9
Total		1370-4-7.

Kandayam due by Biligiri Iyr for his lands in Halganhalli & Kadrenhalli & Venkatesapura

		amount
Dhatu	76-77	35-9-3
Iswara	77-78	57-4-0
Bahudanya	78-79	148-1-0
Pramadi	79-80	43-11-0
Vikrama	80-81	92-8-2
Vishu	81-82	152-7-6
Chitrabhanu	82-83	194-15-6
Total arrears		724-8-5.

& the tanks by a Kodigidar habitually absent at Madra Hence the ~~four~~ 3rd proposal seems to me to be the only feasible one viz the payment of a lump sum of 2203-2-0 being twenty times $\frac{1}{5}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ of the average annual realizations during the las 20 years.

15. In the above letter the Dy Comr further states that the Inam was granted by His late Highness for restoring & the up-keeping of the two tanks at Halgand that a large sum of mone say 4500 Rs were spent by the partners Adinaram & Rangasami Iyengar & that the Taluk Maistry who accompanied him on a personal visit to the tanks estimated the Cost of the needed repairs At 2747 Rs.

16. Since the above report was sent Biligiri Iyengar's revenue arrears have been increasing till on the 22nd March of this year they amounted to 1071-8-3 & the Dep Commr requests early orders on the subject

17. In 1873 a Side-issue Arose wh though

28

The 3d proposal is thus
$20 + \frac{1}{5} =$ not
$20 + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{2}$ as here
stated

mention of up-keep in original Sunnud

already notes the amount & ni repairs was declared incapable of estimation

inarayanappa died & Govindayya son has petitioned this office to y out the agreement between latter & Biligiri Iyengar viz yment of 337 Rs 8 as to the former est to the latter out of a total of 5466-15-8. 28 Jan 86)

2029/585 dated 22nd March 8 from the Dep Commr ar.

And hand them over to Govt. attaching no further liability of their up-keep. (2) if Govt enfranchise the Inam on ½ of ⅕ revenue he may be exonerated ~~from~~ from the duty of up-keep or repairing of the tanks, paying him the arrears of Pancham Hissa due up to the end of Chitrabhanu 1882-83. (3) he is willing to receive Capitalised Sum equal to 20 times the Pancham Hissa & relinquish his claims to the tanks provided the above arrears due to him be also paid with it. (4) he would repair the tanks & keep them in good order if he be allowed to enjoy the Pancham Hissa as originally directed.

14. In regard to these proposals the Dept Commr reports as follows. 'As regards the first proposal the Kodigidars are not entitled to get the Inam enfranchised at ¼ quit-rent the tanks being in need of repairs. The second proposal is also objectionable As the annual settlement of Claims by Cash payment (no waste lands under the tank being available) will give room to fraud on the part of village Servants & Consequent Confusion in the accounts. The fourth proposal is equally open to objection since neither the ryots nor Govt can promptly get repairs executed to

No 382/169 dated 23rd June 1883.

30

18. Adinaranappah requested a grant of land bearing the above assessment, but no land was available at Halaganahalli & the 80 Rs was ordered to be levied from him annually till the Survey Settlement, when a grant of land would be made. The Settlement officer found no lands available & the Dep^t Comm^r refused to interfere with former orders. Finally when the Taluk Authorities did not know against what lands the said demand of 80 Rs was to be shown the D^t Com^r ordered them to prepare the Jamabandi accounts according to the settlement papers. Final orders have not yet been issued.

Paper No 34

19. Orders opened

Vide No. 4661 to 3 of 93.92

9th September 1892

20. Brief reference

Letter Issued No 340-41 54-55 Papers re... 35-37

to Chief Engineer and Kolar Dy Commr. Submit information regarding Atchkat under the tanks, the outlay on repairs etc.

Submitted with 2 drafts.

DD 17/5

21. Drafts issued vide No. 1737 93 92 dated 12 March 1894. No.

22. The Chief Engineer now reports that ~~Estimates~~ Estimates have been called for for the repair of the tank. No 4.

23. Draft-recommendation D. C. Kolar submd.

DD 7/7/94

unimportant to the subject under report, yet requires disposal with a view to terminate the Confusion it has produced in the Taluk accounts.
During that year Adinaranappa (Kodigidar) cut, without any authority for his action, a new channel about 3000 yards long, from the existing Channel beginning somewhere within the confines of Hudrenahalli village Adjoining Halaganahalli. Ten Kandies of Govt land were taken up by the channel & the Kodigidar when Examined stated that he made the channel with a view to bring more land under Cultivation & thus improve the value of his Hissa by 20 Rs his Estimate of the increased revenue accruing to Govt by the work in question being 100 Rs Thereupon he was ordered to pay an annual rent of 80 Rs (100 Rs - 20 Rs his Panchama Hissa) till he or his ryots or others took up lands assessed at that or a smaller value when a proportionate reduction would be made.

No. 24 dated 18 March 75 by the D.I Sup.t of Tumkur.

(49)

Gen. Serial No. 30.] Gl. 4169

1896 10 DEC. DEWAN'S OFF

From

The Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 19th December 1896

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. one bale of cocoas

General No. 398
No.

In reply to Govt pro No 4661-3 of 9.9.93 R. 7193-92. 12

SUBJECT.

Recommending 1/6th remission for lands under the Halaganahalli pervale tank in the Goubiddanur Taluk

---

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rec'd 19/12

Ref to put up 23/12

Submitted to Secretary for Orders -
28/12/96

Sd Bd Cy
7-1-97

Recd 6.20. Pm. 7/1/97.

Under the circumstances of the case the recommendation of the Supt may be accepted. But before passing final orders I would like to know the financial adjustment on the above basis -

What is due from the Maundays on account of the Kandayam &

Survey Supts' 398 of the 7th Dec
96

What is due thereon on
account of this remission
must be ascertained.
Two estimates have been
prepared by the D.O.W. amounting
from Rs to 7480 ~~Rs~~ Rs. Ten
estimates were sent to the Dy
Comr on 21.11.96. Unless there
will be a large balance in favor
of the Inamdars I am not sure
that the arrangement ~~and~~ to
this decision will be beneficial
to them. Let us know however
the facts fully before dealing
with them finally. Copy of
S. Supts letter maybe sent to
the Dy Comr when attention may
be called to the estimates already
sent. He should, in connection
with the Siddapur Yoga report
if the plans have been executed
together with a statement showing
what is due to & from them.

4/4/97 Mysore

Diary and Copy
5/4/97

Subd 6/4/97

1878.

From

To Comr. Nundg.
Survey & Settlt. Comr.
Dy. Accl. General.

Dated 21 June

Despatched " —"—

Received 26 July

Enclosures No. " —

General No. 2099
78 N. 41

Awarding Compensation to Certain Kodrjidars for their lands situated under the Kalagana Lidelli Tank, in the Gudjini Taluk.

(7)

L. R. 4-47
sl. 20

General No: 2099
R " 41

Dated 21st June 1878

Docket No 43 of the 8th May 1878 from the Commr Nandidroog Divn submitting copy of a letter No 26 of the 23rd April last from ~~the Divisional~~ Special Relief Officer of the Kustigere Taluk, reporting with reference to Chief Commrs Proceedings No 6110/57 of the 22nd September 1877, that there is neither a block of land to the extent of A. 42-26-9 ~~with~~ Assessed at Rs 273-5-7, nor one of A. 34-4-8 ~~with~~ assessed at Rs 218-10-11, ~~vacant~~ unoccupied under the Halagamahalli Taluk in the Nundigiri Taluk for being granted to the Kodigidars.

Order thereon — With a view to the abolition of ~~Do away with~~ the Kodigi service tenure, the enfranchisement of all Existing Kodigi inams was ordered in this Office Proceedings No

No. 9620/43 of the 20th Dec. 1876, and ~~the Chairman~~ it is ~~therefore considers it inad-~~ desirable to grant fresh lands on ~~the said~~ their tenure.

2. ~~It is moreover reported~~ I suppose mean of ~~that~~ No land ~~are available~~ are available under the Halagana Kalli Tanki, to be granted to the Kodigidars, and they ~~are not apparently willing~~ themselves unwilling to accept lands situated Elsewhere. ~~Under these circumstances~~ I do not ~~give directions in accordance with the propo-~~ think proper but to adopt ~~sal made~~ by the Survey the course proposed Commr. in para 10 of his letter No. 538 of the 16th April/77, that a sum of Rs. 5466-15-8, being 20 times the Kodigidars 1/5th Share of the annual assessment of the wet lands under the tanki be paid to them on condition of their relinquishing their right to the Kodigi Inam

and the Collr. accordingly directs

× Rs. 273-5-7.

in

in favor of Govt. Adi=
=naranappa and Renga=
=sawmy Iyengar must
divide the amount between
themselves in accordance
with their own agreement,
and they should be informed
by a notice duly served on
them that if they do not set=
=tle their disputes amicably,
or Establish their respective
rights to the amount of
Compensation now awarded
in a competent Court within
6 months from the date
of Service of the notice
aforementioned, no further
claims on their part
will be Entertained by Govt.

Secretary.

To The Commr, Mandidroog Divn
" " Survey & Settlt Commr
" " Dy Accountt Genl

OBG.
21/6/78

General, (Serial No. 29.)

Tere Jhs 55-3.

1881.

From The D.C. Commissioner
Tanjore District.

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Revenue ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 10th Jany. 1881.
Despatched 11 "
Received 14/1

Enclosures No.

General. No. } 1880—81.
No. 348

Adverting to the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings Rc. 2099 dated 21st June 1878 R.C. 41 Regarding the capitalization of the Rodagi Pancham Hissé allowance due to Rangaswamiengar and another Rodagidar of Halaganahully village Tank in the Kuddagiri Taluk, the Undersigned has the honor to request the favor of being furnished with copies of the Survey Commissioner's letter marginally noted.—

*Rio. 5388 of 16th April 1877.

D.C. Commissioner
Tanjore District.

14-1-81
W.L. Secd Clerk

15/1 Clerk

No 53

Tumkur District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkur 26th April 1882.

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore -
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In reply to your Official Memo No 9257/638 dated 9th Ultimo forwarding for report a petition from one Sadi-narnappah, Kodagidar of Halagana-halli, Maddagiri Taluk, on the subject of the non-payment to him of the amount of compensation mention-ed in the Chief Commissioner's Proceed-ings No 2099/R41 dated 21st June 1878, I have the honor to forward herewith for perusal and return the records connected with the case as per ac-companying Jamabandi from which it will be observed that the petitioners claim seems to have been disposed of a couple of years ago.

2: In accordance with the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings alluded to notices were issued to the petitioner and

and his co-sharer, directing that if they did not settle their disputes regarding the division of the amount of compensation within 6 months, no further claims on their part would be entertained by Government. These notices were issued to them so far back as 19th July 1878 and served on them as admitted in their petition dated 6th July 1880 to the address of the Deputy Commissioner, which is filed in the records; but the petitioner and his co-sharer did not act up to the terms within the period specified therein. About two years after, Adiyarnappa and Belgiri Iyengar, son of Ranga-samy Iyengar, appeared before Mr. Sheshadri Iyer, and presented a petition stating that they were willing to receive the money, but the Deputy Commissioner took no notice of it, being of opinion that the Government was no longer bound to pay the large sum of Rupees 5766-15-8 referred to in the proceedings. As observed by Mr. Sheshadri Iyer in his draft letter

attached

(General Serial No. 30.)

188/.

From

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner
of Mysore, Rev Department.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dated

Dated 7 February.

Despatched

Received 10.2.87

Enclosures No.

General No. 6534

Rev No. 1148.

This docket No 3487
the 20 Ultmo.

As requested in the above, forwards a copy of the Survey Commr letter No 538 of the 16 April 1877 and of its enclosures relative to the Kodagi Inam below the Halaganhally Tank in the Madagiri Taluk.

By order

for Secretary

825

1882



From Deputy Commissioner
Kunigal Division

To the Dewan of Mysore
Secretary to ~~Chief~~ ~~Commissioner~~,
Revenue ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 26 April 1882.
Despatched 27-3
Received

Enclosures No. 2 Records

General No. / No. 53 } 1882—~~83~~.

Secretary's No 92 57 / 638 of 79 "Ellis"

Reports on the petition of Adiyarnappah Kodagidar of Halagana halli Maddagiri Taluk.

28.4.82

4/5

attached to the proceedings, the Kodaji is still undefined, nor does the Hak in question seem to have been investigated by the Inam Department. - Moreover, the Executive Engineer has reported that the Tanks for which the petitioner and his co-sharer claim compensation are not in good order, while in No 202 of the 6th September 1880, the Amildar of Maddagiri states that to repair these Tanks would cost about Rupees 2000/.

× Copy of letter No. 7807 29th Aug.t 1880 is enclosed. —

3. The petition received with your Memo under acknowledgment is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obed.t Servt.

W Wood Esq.r
Offg: Deputy Commissioner

E.L.S.

COURT FEE
ONE RUPEE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

No 78
Camp

Camp Chendandúr.
Dated 29th August 1880.

Executive Engineer's Office.
Tiruttúr Division.

6
6

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Tiruttúr District.

Sir,

With reference to your No 94 of 26th Ultimo I have the honor to inform you that I inspected the Halagonahally Tanks Registered Nos 199 and 200.

2. The smaller of these tanks No: 200 is on the whole in fair order: its body is good as also the revetment of the bund, but the earth work both on the top and rear slope has been much washed away and would require an expenditure of about Rupees 50/- to make it up to standard - It has no sluice - but an ordinary cut in the high ground beyond one plank - permits of water being taken for what irrigable land there is - This cut has to be closed before the tank fills and then gradually

gradually opened and the supply regulated according to requirements-

3. The larger and more important tank Rupt No 199 is in very much worse order - Though the revetment as far as constructed is in good order the earth behind it is much washed away and will require a considerable sum spent on it before the sections are as they ought to be.



The marginal sketch is one of average section of its 2 sluices, the rear cistern of one has entirely parted from the tunnel and will need reconstruction; the rest of the other is said by the ryots to be silted - It is not provided with any cody, but surplus water passes over the low and unrevetted portions of extreme each end of the bund, which is of course frequently breached and then made good again by the ryots - From the west or Village end and for about 1000 yards in length the bund is revetted and as already said is in good order, but beyond that and for about 300 yards or so the bund is both low

low and unrevetted and according to the statement of the ryots a continual source of trouble. The tank is fed by a channel from the river Pinaeni but there is no dam across the river or head sluice to the channel up which I did not go but which is much silted up I was informed by the head man of the Village.

4. An Estimate will certainly be needed to put these tanks in order, but before I can frame one I must be informed what the obligations of the Inamdar were, and what he undertook to do. Should he have provided the smaller tank with a pucka sluice &. and the larger one with a Cody & ought he to have revetted the bund of the larger tank for its whole length & and had he any thing to do with the feeding channel, either as to keeping it clear of silt or providing it with Head works. If you will be so good as to furnish me with the

necessary

necessary information I shall be glad to prepare and let you have the Estimate at an early a date as I can.

Yours &c.

/Signed/ R. Warder

Executive Engineer

Limpkin Division.

"Copy"

Wm Goodyear

Offg. Deputy Commissioner

RWG

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 : 87

From

To Dy. Comr. Tumkur

~~*The Chief Secretary to the*~~
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Passing orders on the subject of Compensation claimed by the Kodavedars of Halgunahally Taluk

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—21-12-92.—2000.

818

Read:—

Letter No. 53 of the 26th April 1882 from the Dy Commr of the Tumkur District regarding the claim of the Kodegodus of the Halagunahalli Tank in the Maddagiri Taluk to compensation sanctioned in Chf Comrs Pro: No 2099 of 24th June 1878

No 1307 of 12th May 1882
P. 1010 Order thereon

The former orders which were not then accepted cannot be now given effect to. The claims of the parties should be settled anew on their merits. The Dy Comr should report, referring to any correspe, what was the nature & cost of the work done for which the Inam was granted. The 1/5th Share which was sanctioned to the Petrs shd be calculated upon the average annual realizations from the cultivation under the Tank,

Rs 361-8-0
less the ~~xxxxxxx~~
value of the original
cultivation under it
~~the tank~~ prior to the
Kodigidars coming
in the field, and from
this should be deducted
the cost of the repairs
of the tank; or assuming
the principle of the
Inam Settlement, the
amount payable
~~xxx~~ free from the cost
of repairs would be
only ~~xxxxhalfxx~~
one half of the ~~xxxxxx~~
one-fifth and the 20
years' purchase money,
if the Govt were disposed
to allow it, should be
calculated only upon
the latter amount.
The D. Govt is therefore

requested to investigate & report on the claim de novo on the above principles, & state ~~which of the~~ which of the two, - a ready money payment, or 1/10th. of the annual nett collections, - the Kodijidars would prefer, and what the Dy Collr himself would recommend - As it is presumed that there may be unoccupied waste lands under the Tank since the Famine, an extent of land of the required value out of the above may be granted in dum on Kodiji tenure on the Kaundars undertaking to keep the work in repair

R. Vijiaraghavachariar
Secy

To The Dy Collr
Tanjore Dt

R 1882

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Toomkur D

Dated 12 May

Depy

No 1807/101

Regarding the claim
of the Kodigidars of Halaganahalli
Taluk in the Maddagiri Taluk
to certain compensation

1883/84

From

The Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

To

The Secretary to Chief Commissioner of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Dated 23 June/83

Despatched 27

Received

Enclosures No. 3 & Ver: proc.

General No. 382 } 18 —8.
No. 119

Submitting a report in the matter of Halu Gaunkalli Kodigi Inam Goribidnur Taluk

28.6.83

Essay

I would call the reference to this

7/8

Has case the L. to been treated in the manner of the enquiry?

10/7/85

14

Dy: Commr's Office
Kolar District
Kolar 23d June 1882.

No 382/169

To
The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to the Dewan's Proceedings No 507/101 of the 12th May/82 directing that the claims of the Kodigidar of the Halaganahalli Kank, lately transferred from Madgéré to the Goribidnur Taluk of this District, should be settled anew on their merits, I have the honor to submit herewith vernacular proceedings along with a detailed English Memo: drawn by the Amildar of Madgéré, from which it appears that the Inam was originally ordered by His Highness the late Maha Raja on the 1st November 1830, to be granted to one Govindava-dhany for restoring 2 old tanks at the village and for their up Keeping. The grants executed to them accordingly some repairs and on his death his son Adinarayanappa being in need of funds, took with the permission

filed with the Proc:

This is not quite evident.

permission of Government A. Sivaga-
-sa mierngar as his partner, mak-ing
him over 4/5 shares in the Inam-
an arrangement which has been
registered in 1862. When the Joint Rodi
-dars seem to have spent £ 0 for the repairs
of the tank a large sum of money
say about Rupees 4500/. In 1871, the
Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur and
the Executive Engineer accompanied
by the Taluk Authorities examined
the work and reported on the same
saying that the Rodigidars had fulfilled
their part satisfactorily, and the Govern-
-ment might give them Inam as
per orders of His Highness vide letter
No. 336 of the 16th September/71 to the Add-
-ress of the ~~Superintg.~~ Executive Engineer). In
1882, when I was in the Tumkur Dis-
-trict, I inspected the tanks under
reference, and found the Rodigidars'
assertion that they have spent on raising
the bund and revetment a decent sum
to be true, notwithstanding the tanks and
their Sluices require about Rs 3000/ to
put them in order. The Taluk Maistry, who
accompanied me at the time, has framed
an

an estimate for Rs. 2747/ to complete the work.

2. With respect to the 2nd point in the Proceedings under reference, as to how the 1/5 share-Kodigi should be calculated, I beg to enclose herein a detailed statement shewing the revenue of the tanks for the last 20 years, and its average along with the amounts respectively for 1/5 or 1/10 share, or 20 times of the 1/10, the capitalized sum.

3. Of late, Adinaranappa and Ranga-sawmiengar died. Seenappa son of the former has deposed to the effect that the 1/5 share in the Kodi belonging to him was also mortgaged to the latter, Ranga-samiengar, and his sons have been claiming the Inam. Among these claimants Mr. Béligirienger, eldest son of Rangasamiengar, appeared before me to represent his case and filed the accompanying English petition expressing therein that he is willing to abide by any one of the following terms:-

1st/4 That, if the Government enfranchise the Inam by imposing 1/4 quit rent or allowing him to enjoy 3/4 of the 1/5 of the revenue under the tanks, he

he will repair the tanks and hand them over to Government attach-ing no further liability of their up-keep;

2dly That if the Government enfranchise the Inam or ½ of its revenue, he may be exonerated from the responsibility of the up-keep or repairing of the tanks, paying him the arrears of "Punjam Kissa" due upto the end of Chittrabhanu 1882-83;

3dly That he is willing to receive capitalized sum equal to 20 times the "Punjam Kissa" and relinquish his claims to the tanks, provided the arrears of the Inam or Punjam Kissa up to last year be paid to him along with it; and

4thly That he would repair the tanks and keep them in good order, if he be allowed to enjoy the "Punjam-kissa" as originally directed.

1. The Kodigidars are not entitled to get the Inam enfranchised at ½ quit rent under the Inam rules, the tanks being in need of repairs as already observed. With respect to the last or 4th term, I submit that renewing the Kodigi does not seem to me to be advisable, as it is not possible

either

4/

either for the Government or Ryots holding lands under the tanks to make the Nadigi -dars, who are not living at the village, attend to the calls in time and do the needful repairs to the irrigation works now and then. So, either the 2nd or 3rd proposal may be accepted. In the former case, the Government will have to pay the Nadigidars 1/2 of 1/5 revenue viz Rs. 110-2-6 annually in cash, no waste land under the tanks to grant them in lieu being available. The annual settlement of claims by cash payment will no doubt give room to fraud on the part of the village Servants and consequent confusion in the accounts. If the latter course, i.e. 3rd proposal be adopted, a lump sum viz Rs 2203-2-, being the amount of 20 times of 1/10 of the average revenue is to be paid to them. Con- -sidering all these circumstances, I am of opinion that adopting the last mentioned principle for dis- -posing of the question once for all is better. The claim set forth by Mr. Nadigiriangar for the payment of the arrears of Sanjamahissa (Rs 1140) from

1578

1878 to 1883 is also to be settled. The amount of Punjam kissa viz. Rs. 236-14-0 due for Easwara 1877 seems to have been kept in deposit. Pending the settlement of the question, he has withheld the payment to Government. Rs. Kundayam on his Land at Halgana Palli and other villages amounting to Rupees 724-8-5. A detailed statement shewing the amount due by him to Government and what he claims is also submitted herewith for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed. Servt.

Deputy Commissioner

Statement shewing the revenue of Halaganahalli Tank for the first 20 years.

Year	Total revenue under the tank			Deduct the value of the original cultivation before the Kodigidars undertook to repair			Nett revenue			The average of the last two years revenue	15 p.c. of the average revenue	1/10 of the average revenue	20 times of 1/10 of the average revenue or capitalized sum	Remarks
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees	
Dundubhi (1862-63)	895	7	1	162	9	11	732	13	2					
Rudirodgāri (1863-64)	1020	5	2	162	9	11	857	11	3					Panjam hissab amount for
Raktakshi (1864-65)	1045	11	2	162	9	11	883	1	3					Iswara (1877-78) viz: Rs 236-14-
Krodana (1865-66)	1091	12	-	162	9	11	929	2	1					appears to be in deposit payable
Akshya (1866-67)	1245	-	2	162	9	11	1082	6	3					to the Kodagedars
Prabhava (1867-68)	1223	8	6	162	9	11	1060	14	7					
Vibhava (1868-69)	1217	8	6	162	9	11	1054	14	7					
Sukla (1869-70)	1324	14	7	162	9	11	1162	4	8					
Pramodūta (1870-71)	1364	13	9	162	9	11	1202	3	10	1101-9-4	220-5-1	110-2-6	2203-2-	
Prajothpatti (1871-72)	1385	12	3	162	9	11	1223	2	4					
Angirasa (1872-73)	1362	11	6	162	9	11	1200	1	7					

Prajapathi	(1873-74)	1374	8	4	162	9	11	1211	14	5	
Bhava	(1874-75)	14353	.		162	9	11	1272	9	1	
Yuva	(1875-76)	1456	2	10	162	9	11	1293	8	11	
Dhatu	(1876-77)	1939	8	.	775	15	.	1163	9	.	
Iswara	(1877-78)	1939	8	.	755	.	.	1184	8	.	
Bahudanya	(1878-79)	1822	2	.	755	.	.	1067	2	.	
Pramadi	(1879-80)	1904	4	.	755	.	.	1149	4	.	
Vikrama	(1880-81)	1905	4	.	755	.	.	1150	4	.	
Vrishu	(1881-82)	1905	4	.	755	.	.	1150	4	.	
		28859	4	10	6827	9	10	22031	11	.	

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kolar District
Kolar 25th June 83.

( ) Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Statement showing the amount of Panjama Hissa claimed by Hoskoté Beligiringar

Years		Panjama Hissa amount Rs.	as.	P.	Remarks
Bahudanya	1878/79	213	6	9	
Pramadi	1879/80	229	13	7	
Vikrama	1880/81	230	-	9	
Vishu	1881/82	230	-	9	
Chitrabhanu	1882/83	230	-	9	
	Total	1133	6	7	
Iswara	1877/78	236	14	-	This sum is said to be in deposit
	Total	1370	4	7	

Deduct the arrears of Kandayam due from him 724-8-5

Net amount. 645-12-2

Arrears of Kaddayam due to the Gouribidnur Taluk by Hoskoté Beligiringar

Years		Halagu halli Rs.	as.	P.	Kadaresu halli Rs.	as.	P.	Total Rs.	as.	P.	Remarks
Dhatu	1876/77	-	-	-	35	9	3	35	9	3	
Iswara	1877/78	-	-	-	57	4	-	57	4	-	
Bahudanya	1878/79	78	14	3	69	2	9	148	1	4	
Pramadi	1879/80	32	4	3	11	6	9	43	11	-	
Vikrama	1880/81	54	-	11	38	7	3	92	8	2	
Vishu	1881/82	78	14	3	73	9	3	152	7	6	
Chitrabhanu	1882/83	78	14	3	73	9	3	152	7	6	
		322	15	11	359	-	6	682	-	5	

Arrears due to Venkatapura village for 1882/83. 42-8-0

724-8-5

To the Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kolar District
Kolar 25th June 1883

By order
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

COURT FEE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
EIGHT ANNAS

Rev Shuler
93 wing up with Mss. Nov. 9-3-83

46. 2nd line Beach, Madras
March 5. 1883.

To the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar

The humble petition of S. Bilicori Ayenga the eldest son of the late Mr. Hosakota Ranga Swamy Ayengar, the Kodagidar of the Hallasamhalli Tanks, in the Goribuda noor Taluq, entitled to four-fifths in his own individual rights, and the remaining one-fifth in the right of the mortgage from Indinaranappa of his share of Kodagi,

Sheweth,

That the petitioner in obedience to your notice dated 15th February 1883, has presented himself before you on the 3rd of March, and represented his case herein in person, and now, is desirous of bringing the following facts to your kind notice and favourable disposal.

That in accordance with Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 9620/R. 43 dated 20th December 1876, in framing rules for the enfranchisement of Kodagi Inams, Inams granted to private individuals for the construction and up-keep of tanks are enfranchised at one-fourth quit-rent, where the Deputy Commissioner of the District certifies that the conditions of the grant have been fairly observed, and the tanks are in order,

V. Proc Book
Subd
R

to a safe standard to your satisfaction: (4) As the Government has recently passed an order, leaving it to the option of the Kodagidars to keep their tanks in repair and enjoy the Inams, as heretofore, or get them enfranchised under the Inams Rules, the Petitioner submits that if the Government should be pleased to treat this Kodagi ~~which~~ as one of those which come under the above order and grant the Punchumchissa as heretofore paying all the arrears to him as above mentioned, he will now repair the tanks and keep them in future too, in good order, in accordance with the conditions of the original summuds and grants which were sent some time back to the office of the Superintendent of Inams in compliance with his letter.

The petitioner submits that if any of the four prayers aforesaid be granted, he will be thankful.

That the Petitioner holds certain lands in the villages of Halla Gānahalli and Kuttiānahalli towards the Kandayem of which, he owes to Government as per the taluq Huildar's memo to the end of Vishue of 1881-82, Rs. 244-1-8. and Rs. 285-7-3, respectively, making in all Rs. 529-8-11. After deducting therefrom —

where such certificates are not forthcoming Inams of this class are enfranchised at half quit-rent. The tanks in the Hallagana halli village were constructed by the Kodagidar Indinaranappa's grand father, and repaired subsequently by the said Indinaranappa's father Govindavadhani at a cost of Rs. 3000 and further repairs were taken in hand and were carried out by the Petitioner's father Ranga Swamy Iyengar at a cost of Rs. 7000 as per his account, or of Rs. 4500 or 5000, as per the Estimated cost of such repairs by the Government Authorities. Therefore I beg to assume that the above principle of Enfranchisement applies to this case. After ascertaining the average amount of Punchumhissa less one-fifth of the produce under the tanks before the original grant of Kodagi, which has been hitherto deducted and the Punchumhissa ascertained, (1) the Petitioner should be allowed to enfranchise the Kodagi Inams by one-fourth ~~the~~ quit-rents. If the Government be pleased to grant this prayer, the Petitioner will undertake ~~to~~ the repairs on hand at once and complete them to the satisfaction of the Deputy Commissioner or his delegate, in which case, the Petitioner would be freed from

Rs. 236-14-4, that is now in deposit for the Petitioner in the Taluq treasury, there is still leaves a balance of Rs. 292-10-11. As means of liquidating the said balance the Petitioner requests that orders should be given to the Taluq Huildar to sell all the Petitioner's lands before the end of this official year, and credit the net sale-proceeds towards the balance, and intimate to the petitioner by a letter the amount of arrears still due.

Owing to the distant position of the lands from Madras, and to other causes, the Petitioner has not been able to attend to the cultivation of all his lands for some years past. In 1882-83, a portion of his lands has, however, been cultivated. The assessment due by the Petitioner for this year amounts to nearly Rs. 152. To help the petitioner in making payment of this, he requests that you may be pleased to direct the Huildar to sell by public auction to advantage the crops belonging to him, and also to deduct as upto Oswara, the amount of punchumhissa for Chithabhanu or 1882-83, and credit his accounts with the sale-proceeds of the crops and the punchumhissa amount. After the adjustment of the Petitioner's accounts in the above manner, if the Huildar wills to him as to any balance still due in satisfaction of his accounts to the end of the current months, he

will be prepared to remit the sum to the Taluq
treasury.

With reference to the Huildar's query as to what
proportion the Nunchanchina amount of Rs. 236-14-0
for Dwara which is in deposit should be distributed
among the representatives of Hosakoti Rangaswamy
Iyengar, and Sridharnappa Kodaridars (to the
extent of four-fifths and one-fifth respectively,)
deceased, Hear the Petitioner has the honour to
submit that the Huildar may be directed to pay
the whole to the account of Rangaswamy Iyengar alone,
inasmuch as it has been the practice for some years to pay
the whole amount on Rangaswamy Iyengar's receipt.
The reason which the Taluq had in ignoring Sridhara
narappa's right to the one-fifth share is, because
he assigned it away to Rangaswamy Iyengar and
reported to, or gave deposition before, the Huildar
of the said Taluq. The fact of the said mortgage
is acknowledged in the deposition of Selvappa
the son of the late Sridharanappa, as is taken
by the Huildar of Gorikidanoor.

Begging to be excused for this lengthy
petition, the ~~Petitioner~~ Petitioner begs to remain

your most obedient humble servant

Sd/- [illegible]

From.
S. Bilgiri Ayyangar

To
The Asstt Commissioner
Kolar

March 5. 1883.

Subject

In the matter of Halagana
halli Kodagi Inams.

Madras

(General Serial No. 30.)

188 .

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To

The Inam Superintendent

Dated 30 January

Despatched –

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 6099 R.
No. 87

Requests to be furnished with a copy of the Inam Register containing the settlement of the Kodagi lands under the Halagunahalli taluk in the Goribednore Taluk.

Secy

From the Inam No 6087 S/30/85

Law M 25 29 16-8-83

Reference
CR 10-3-85

Subud
CR 14-3-85

1885

OFFICE OF THE
10 MAR 85
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

(21)

From
The Supt^te of Inam Settlt.
To The Secretary to the
Dewan
of Mysore

Dated 5th March
Recd 9-10 " February

Enclosure No —
No 60 of 1884-85.

His Docket —
No 6099/87 dated the 30th ultimo, requesting to be furnished with a copy of the Inám Register containing the settlement of the Kodigi Inám Lands under the Hálagáma-halli Tank in the Gúribidnúr Táluk.

In reply, has the honor to state, that the Kodigi Inám under reference has not been brought

Subd

The Inam enclosed was intended to be issued and the Extract sent herewith was prepared 1/5 there not being room for the whole of the Extract on one Sheet, and 1/5 of the Extract after the lands were reserved. The latter may now continue

P.V.

1/5

brought on the Inam Register pending the receipt of a reply from the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar to the correspondence ending with this Office Docket No. 24 of the 16th July last, requesting to be informed of the result of the correspondence between him and the Secretary to the Dewan regarding the payment of compensation to the Kodigidars in question.

J. D. Grant

Supt. of Inam Setts.





No. 366

30/4/91 Rs 5/12

32

Two Rupees Stamps affixed.

On: 10 copies

Madras 20th Feb: 1891

To

The Dewan to His Highness
the Maharajah of Mysore

Bangalore

Sir,

Re my Kodagi Inams in the village of Halgan-hally. Goribidnoor Taluq.

I have the honor to place before you for reference copy of the correspondence in the above matter as noted in the margin.

I earnestly pray that considering the length of time this matter of my claim has been kept pending ~~Expired~~ that the Government of Mysore will be pleased to dispose of it as well as my claim for Panchahissa from 1883.

I have the honor to remain
Your most obedient Servant
S. Subbiseshiah
Kodagidar

(1) Letter no: 53 D/26th april 1882 from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District to the Dewan of Mysore & Proceedings and order thereon — No: 1307/101 D/12th May 1882.

(2) The Proceedings of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar regarding the transfer of Halgan-hally village from the Taluq of Madagiri to that of Goribidnoor and hence from the District of Tumkur to the District of Kolar D/25th Janv: 1883.

(3) Deputy Commissioner's notice to me D/15th Feb: 1883.

(4) My petition to the Dy: Commsr of Kolar D/3rd March 1883

(5) My letter D/31st Oct: 1883

(6) Dy: Commsr's reply D/2nd Nov: 1883.

(7) My letter D/ 28th Nov: 1884
(8) Dy: Commrs reply D/ 12th Dec: 1884
(9) My letter D/ 6th Jany: 1886
(10) Dy: Commrs reply D/ 18th Jany: 1886.
(11) My letter D/ 29th May 1886.
(12) My letter D/ 25th Nov: 1886.

Proceedings of the Dewan of Mysore.

Read

Letter No. 53 of the 26th April 1882 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District, regarding the claim of the Kodigidars of the Kalaganahalli Tank in the Maddagiri Taluk to compensation sanctioned in Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 2099/41 dated 21st June 1878.

Order thereon No. 1307/101 dated 12th May 1882.

The former offers which were not then accepted cannot be now given effect to. The claims of the parties should be settled anew on their merits. The Deputy Commissioner should report, referring to any correspondence, what was the nature and cost of the work done for which the Inam was granted. The 1/6 11/11 share which was sanctioned to the Petitioners should be calculated upon the average annual realizations from the cultivation under the Tank, less the value of the original cultivation under it prior to the Kodigidars coming in the field, and from this should be deducted the cost of the repairs of the Tank; or assuming the principles of the Inam Settlement, the amount payable free from the cost of repairs would be only one half of the one

fifth

fifth and the 20 years purchase money, if the Government were disposed to allow it, should be calculated only upon the latter amount.

The Deputy Commissioner is therefore requested to investigate and report on the claim "de novo" on the above principles and state which of the two, - a ready money payment, or 1/10th of the annual nett collections, - the Kodigidars would prefer, and what the Deputy Commissioner himself would recommend. As it is presumed that there may be unoccupied waste lands under the Tank since the Famine, an extent of land of the required value out of the above may be granted in Inam on the Kodigi tenure, on the Inamdars undertaking to keep the work in repair.

(Sd) R. Vijayendra Row
Secretary.

"Copy"

Proceedings of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar
Dated 25th January 1883.

Halaganahalli was a month ago transferred from Madgiri to Goribidanur in the Kolar District. There are 2 Kodagy tanks in the village, belonging to the late Mr. Hoskota Rungaswamy Iyengar. His son Mr. S. Biligiri Iyengar is his heir, and is the present Kodigidar.

(2.) There is no land for the Kodigi Inam the Kodigidar being entitled to 1/5th of the net revenue from the tanks. Adinaranappa was the holder of 1/5th of the said Inam. and since his death, his son represents him.

(3.) A map of correspondence was received in the Kolar District Office from Tumkur regarding the settlement of this Inam. The late Chief Commissioner had directed (Order No. 2099/41 dated 21-6-78) that the Inam should be bought up by Government for a lump sum of Rs. 5466-15-8. Mr. Rungaswamy Iyengar however did not accept the amount, and the settlement remained in abeyance.

(4) The present Mysore Government have directed that the claim be investigated de novo on different terms. Vide Dewan's Order No. 1307/101 dated 12-5-82. The case has now to be disposed of accordingly. The Kodigidar's presence is therefore necessary for the purpose.

5. The Kodigidar owns lands in the village which are nearly all waste, and for which

the

the following arrears are due to Government:-

For 1878-9.	Rs	78-14-3.
" 1879-80	"	32-4-3.
" 1880-81	"	54-"-11.
" 1881-82	"	78-14-3.
" 1882-83.	"	"-"-".
	Rs	244-1-8.

It seems he has lands also in the Kadirénahally village for which these arrears are due:-

Dhatu.		35-9-3.
Iswara.		57-4-".
Bahoodhania.		69-2-9.
Pramatthy.		11-6-9.
Vikrama.		38-7-3.
Chitrabhanoo.		73-9-3.
		285-7-3.

Grand total of both villages:-

The Kodigidar is entitled to an allowance of 1/5 3/4 on the net revenue of the village, as under:-

Iswara.		236-14-".
Bahudhania.		220-"-".
Pramatthy.		229-13-7.
Vikrama.		230-"-".
Vishoo.		230-"-9.
		1146-12-4.

The tanks require repairs which are estimated to cost nearly 3000 Rs and which from the terms of the grant the Kodigidar is bound to execute.

No. 1424/788

Notice.

Mr. S. Biligiri Iyengar, Attorney, High Court, is informed that Saturday the 3rd March 1883 has been fixed for the disposal, at Kolar, of the case in connection with his Kodigi Inam in the Goribidnur Taluk of the Kolar District.

(Sd) V. N. Narasimmiyengar.
Deputy Commissioner.

Dy Commr's Office
Kolar district
Kolar 15th February
1883.

To

Mr. S. Biligiriyengar
Attorney, High Court
46. 2nd Line Beach
Madras.

Kolar, 3rd March 1883

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar.

The humble Petition of S. Venkatappa Biliyini Sy Jungr eldest son of the late Kosakota Ranganaswamy Sy Jungr the Kodigidar of the Nalaganahally tanks in the Goribidanur Taluk entitled to 4/5th in his own individual right and the remaining 1/5th in the right of the mortgage from Audinarayanappa of his share of Kodigi.

Sheweth,

That the Petitioner in obedience to your notice dated 15-2-83 has presented himself before you and is desirous of bringing the following facts to your kind notice and favorable disposal.

That in accordance with the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 9620/R 43 dated 20-12-76 in framing rules for the enfranchisement of Kodigi Inams, Inams granted to private individuals for the construction and upkeep of tanks are enfranchised at 1/4 quitrent where the Deputy Commissioner of the district certifies that the conditions of the grant have been fairly observed and the tanks are in use. Where such certificates

are

are not forthcoming Inams of this class were en-
franchised at 1/2 quit rent. The tanks in the Kala-
gamahally village were constructed by the Kodigidar
Audinaraonappa's grandfather and repaired ~~en~~
subsequently by the said Audinaraonappa's father
Govindavadhany at a cost of Rs 3000 and further
repairs were taken on hand and carried on by the
Petitioner's father Rungaswamy Iyengar at a cost of
Rs 7000 as per his account or of Rs 4500 as per the
estimate of such repairs by the Government
authorities. Therefore I beg to assume that the above
principle of enfranchisement applies to this case.

1. After ascertaining the average amount of Peishcush
Nirpa for 20 years preceding the year 1882-1883 less 1/5th
of the produce under the tanks before the original grant
of Kodigi which has been hitherto deducted in
ascertaining the 1/5th share, the Petitioner should be allowed
to enfranchise the Kodigi Inams by 1/4th the quit rent. If
the Government will be pleased to grant this prayer
the Petitioner will undertake the repairs on hand at once
and complete them to the satisfaction of the Deputy
Commissioner or any other officer delegated to examine
the work when the Petitioner would be freed from the
further responsibility of repairing the tanks but
entitled to 3/4ths of the 1/5th of the income under the tanks.

2) If the Government would give only 1/2 of 1/5th of the
produce as ascertained above i.e. if the Government
would allow the enfranchise by 1/2 quit rent on the
ground that the tanks are not reported to be in good
repair the Petitioner would even then have no objection

to

to taking 1/10th of the income under the tanks provided he is given clearly to understand that the present and future repairs to the tanks would be carried out by Government only and the Petitioner would be entitled to a perpetual grant of 1/10th with the power of alienation by gift &c.

(3) If the Government should be pleased to allow it the Petitioner has no objection to accepting a sum equal to 20 times the Revenue below the said tanks in consideration of his relinquishing his claim to the tanks altogether. In which case the Petitioner would beg that ~~that~~ the Panchamikasa due to him from 1878-79 to 1882 and 1883 amounting to nearly 1140 — should be directed to be paid to him when he will undertake the repairs and bring the tanks to safe standard to your satisfaction.

(4) As the Government has recently passed an order leaving it to the option of the Kodigidars whether to keep the tanks in repair and enjoy the Inam as heretofore or have them enfranchised under the Inam rules, the Petitioner submits that if the Government would be pleased to treat this Kodigi as one of those which fall under the above Order and allow the Panchamikasa as heretofore and pay all the arrears due to him as abovementioned he will repair the tanks and keep them hereafter too in good order in accordance with the condition of his Original Sunnad and grants which were all sent to the offices of the Superintendent of Inams in compliance with his requisition.

The

The Petitioner submits that any of the 4 prayers aforesaid if granted he will be thankful.

The Petitioner holds certain lands in the village of Halagunahally and Kadaréunahally towards the Kandayam of which he owes to Government as per the Taluk Amildars Memo Rs 244-1-8 for Halagunahally and Rs 285-7-3 for Kadaréunahally in all Rs 529-8-11 after deducting therefrom Rs 236-14-4 that is in deposit for the Petitioner in the Taluq Treasury there still leaves a balance of Rs 292-10-10.

sd/ [illegible]

No 46, Second Line Beach,
Madras, 31st October 1883.

Dear Sir,

Re Kalagavahally Kodigi Inam.

It is over a year since I gave my statement to you in this matter. I have not yet had the honor of hearing from you thereon.

I am prepared to accept the 5000 and odd Rupees from the Government and resign my right over the Kodigi Inams in favor of the State as originally proposed. Arrears of Panchamikka are due to me by Government I beg that you will pass orders with reference to them also at the same time.

Hoping that this matter will be disposed of at an early date.

I am, Dear Sir,
Yours truly
(sd) S. Kiligiri Iyengar

Soobba Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar.

No 46, Second Line Beach
Madras, 28th November 1884.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Re Nalaganahally Kodigi.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite your attention to your docket to me dated the 2nd November 1883 received by me on the 5th Idem, stating that a reference had been made to Secretary to the Dewan and that his Orders would be communicated to me when received. It is now more than a year since the above communication was received by me. I beg to be informed at your earliest convenience if the Orders have been received, if not, I humbly beg that you will remind the Secretary and solicit a reply and Orders of the Dewan soon.

I did not like to trouble you in this matter but the delay is an excuse for me.

I am,
Your Obedient
Hble Servt
S. Biligiri Iyengar

1884.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

Mr. S. Bilgiri Rangar
No. 46, Second Line Beach
Madras.

Dated 12th
Despatched 15th } December 1884

Received. 18-12-84.

Enclosures Nos Nil.

General No. 1122 } 1884.
No. 675

His letter dated 28th Ult:

=

In reply states that a reminder has this day been sent to the Secretary on the subject of the Nalagnahalli Kodagi Inam alluded to, and the result of the Dewan's decision in the matter will be communicated to him when received.

S of C. Stobbs Row.
Deputy Commissioner

No. 46, Second Line Beach
Madras, 6th January 1886.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Sir,

Re my Kodaji Inams in Kalagur-
hally Goribidanoor Taluq.

I had addressed one letter to you in 1883 and another in 1884 regarding the aforesaid Kodaji Inams.

You were pleased to send me your office docket General No. 1026 of 1883-84 dated 8th November and General No. 584/1122 of 1884 dated 15th December No. 675 in reply to my letters, stating that a reference had been made to the Secretary to the Dewan (by the first) and that a reminder had been sent to him (by the second) and that the result of the Dewan's decision in the matter would be communicated to me when received. I have been waiting for the whole of the year 1885 upto date to hear the said decision. I beg that you will be pleased to let me know the orders passed on your reference.

Begging to be excused for the trouble.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Obedt
[illegible]

1886.

From

The Dy: Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

Messrs S. Bilijiri & Jnaga,
Attorney-at-Law,
Madras.

Dated 13th } January
Despatched }

Received 18-1-86.

Enclosures — Numbers.

General No. 1247 } 1885-6.
No. 812 }

His letter No. 46, dated 6th instant, — regarding the Halaganahalli Kodigi Inam in the Goribidnur Taluk.

Intimates that another reminder has this day been sent to the Secretary to the Dewan for the settlement of the claim laid by him to the Kodigi inam alluded to.

Sd/ C. Soobbarow.
Dy: Commissioner.

Etd
(Cash) B.S

No. 90, Moor Street
Madras, 25th November 1886.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Re my Kodagi Inams in Kala-
-gunahalli Goribidanoor
Taluq.

Sir,

Referring to ~~my humble letter of the 29th of May 1886~~ I beg to be informed if you have got final orders herein from the Secretary to the Dewan.

This has been pending from the 3rd of March 1883 now nearly 4 years.

If I were getting my Panchamsa as before I need not have been in a hurry for the settlement of this claim you know that this is being allowed to collect into arrears. Please address the Secretary again and help the undersigned.

Your humble Servant
Lop. S. Biligiri Ayyangar
Kodigidar.

No. 340 / R 5.4 D/9-7-93 / 10

34 / 814

To

The Chief Engr in Mysore

—

Undersigned has the honor to request he may be furnished with information on the following points in connection with the two Tanks in Halagairhally Village Gowribidnoor Taluk—

(a) The present condition of the works appertaining to the Tanks.

(b) Whether Govt have been spending any money in repairing or maintaining these Tanks. If so what has been the outlay on them:

Note These Tanks are stated to have been restored by Private Enterprise at Private outlay & a Pamphlam Hessa Rupees Concession is claimed on that account -

Illegible

9/7/93

Thanks

Memo

(35)

The Dy Dr Nolan Dutt is requested

No 341 / 9-7-93 / 10 / P 55

to submit as soon as possible information on the following points regarding each of the two Tanks in Balagarhally village Gantichowki Taluk :—

(a) Total Area of the Tank - Dry wet Garden - area & assessment

(b) Portion thereof under occupation in Each of the 5 years ending on 30th June 1893 — Dry wet Garden & Inundation assessment

(c) Amount spent if any by Govt in the Nov or Mr Depts on the repair of these Tanks.

(d) Whether the Ryots have done any work to the Tank - If so when & What Extent

(e) The present condition of the works appertaining to the Tanks -

Note Information in regard to connection with question pending Report regarding claim to Pancham Varsa (Vide Nolan Dy Nos 382 IT 2029 / 169 39 / 585 Dated 23rd June 83, 15th Feb 86, & 22nd March 88 & Feb 1893)

Nil Letter sent 9/11/93

Sl 15 (35)

Read:-

(1) Letter No 2843/680 dated 17th March 1891 from the Collector of Kolar District soliciting orders in the matter of the claim of the Kodagidaru of the Halaganhalli village in the Goribidnur Taluk to arrears of Panchama Inisa, and of the amount of Kandayam withheld by them pending the disposal of their claim.

(2) Petition Registered No 366 dated 20th February 1891 from the

In 4661-63 D 9/9/93.
R.T. 93-93 12

In U.P.

S. Biligiri Iyengar.

Papers relating to the claim ending with docket No. 2284/604 dated 2nd November 1889 from the Dy/Commr & Kolar.

Order.

The papers connected with the subject will be forwarded to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey with a request that he will treat the tanks under notice as Private Tanks, the Kodaidars being entitled

to 1/5 of the assessment of the lands irrigated under it minus the area which was under cultivation before the tank was repaired by the Kodagidars. The Kodagidars will be entitled to this remission only so long as they keep the tank in good order. The tank is stated to need some repairs which must be executed within one year under the supervision of the

D.D.A. upon proper
estimates framed for
the purpose.

3. The remission
should take effect from
the date on which
the Panchama hissa
was discontinued,
and any arrears
due to the Kodaga-
dars may be adjust-
-ed by remitting the
assessment due by
them on their Patta
lands, a refund ~~stando-~~
~~bill~~ being forward-
-ed for sanction for
any further sum that
may be due to them.

4. The Commission will report to what use the new channel cut by Adinarayanappa is now put, and whether it could in any way be utilized by Government.

Chief Secy:

11 8/9/93

To

The Commr. Kolar Distt

Supdt Mysore

Rev: Survey. ×

Inam Superintendt - Sub.

+ with ver-pahas. received with Dy Comrs Letter Nos. 382/169 8/23/1-/83. & 2284/404 of 22/11/89.

H.D.

815

Proceedings of the Govt of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

(1) Letter No $\frac{2843}{680}$ dated 17th March 1893 Soliciting orders in the matter of the claim of the Kodagidars of the Halaganhalli tank in the Goribidnur Taluk to arrears of Panchamkhisa, and of the amount of Kandayam withheld by them pending the disposal of their claim.

(2) Petition Regd No 366 dated 20th February 1891 from Mr S. Biligiri Iyengar.

(3) Paper relating to the claim ending with docket No $\frac{2284}{404}$ dated 22nd Nov. 1889 from the Dy Commr of Kolar.

Order.

The tank in question must be treated as all Chowtays or private tanks are. It is a fact that the Kodagidars did repair the tank at a considerable cost though the exact amount is not known

No Papers connected with the subject will be forwarded to the Supdt of Revenue, with a request that he will treat the Tank ~~as~~ under notice as Private Tanks, the

and the tank is fairly in good condition though it needs some more repairs. The Inam cannot be separately conferred as no land has been allotted, all the lands under the tank being in the occupation of ryots, but the Kodagidars are entitled to one-fifth of the assessment of the lands cultivated under the tank, subject to the usual condition that they should keep up the tank.

The Kodagidars will accordingly be allowed a remission of one-fifth assessment, with arrears, and the arrears of Kandayam due from them collected minus the remission due to them.

The Superintendent Revenue Survey will submit the usual Statement for sanction.

Chief Secretary

To The Dy Commr Kolar District
- Superintt Mysore Rev. Survey
- Inam Superintendent

Schaffhausen
Cl. Andelfingen
Rote

Total

	ae	q	℔	a
Dry	49	3	31	4
Wet	53	3	234	8
Garden	222	31	1486	4
	324	37	1757	12

5 | 1757-12 / 350-1

5 | 1020-8 / 372-1

Oxycultur
in deepne
Kadafi
Plant.

Dry	13	13	7 -12
Wet	3	12	16 —
Garden	59	8	337-12
	75	33	361-8

Remainder
Unmarked
after subtracting
Standard
Work

Dry	35	30	23-8
Wet	49	31	218-8
Garden	163	23	1148-4
	249	4	1390-4

5 | 1390-4 / 278-4

5 | 1366-12 / 273-5

Zodegadars have entitled to 1/5 of the area of the lands irrigated under it ~~the tank~~. The Zodegadars will be entitled to this remission only so long as they keep the Tank in good order — The Tank is stated to need some repairs ~~at~~, which must be executed within one year. ~~under the supervision of the~~ ~~Dy Collr when further instructions~~ ~~shall be issued~~

This proposal

2. Govt do not think that any deduction need be made in the 1/5 remission to be granted, on account of lands held by the Zodegadars ~~poor~~ before the Tanks were made over to them, the remission may be granted on all the lands irrigated under the Tank as it now is

All

3. The remission should take effect from the date on which the Tanks have been put in order by the Zodegadars, & any arrears due to the Zodegadars may be adjusted by remitting the cess due by them on their lands, upon satisfactory

Repairs to be executed by the Zodegadars.

Tank Gunj Hauza was discontinued

forwarded for execution
for any further necessary
that may be due to them.

4. The Dy Comr was report to what use
the channel cut by Arnaroyuttra
neo
is now put, & whether any
cuts in any be utilized by
Govt

28/8/93

Send them for the
approval of the Govt
Agent as the matter
important matter.

28/8/93

Revise:

Make out a
clean draft

Subd
attended to
& Draft submd:

8/9

No 6853
B 1147.

No 4561

Forwarded in original (to be obligingly returned) to the Chief Secretary to the Dewan with reference to his No 340/54 dated 9th July 1893.

(sd) D. Mc Campbell Lieut Colonel
Chief Engineer of Mysore

Bangalore
7th October 1893

(General Serial No. 31.)

1893.

From The Executive Engineer
Kolar Division.

To The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

Dated 30th September 1893

Despatched 5-10-93

Received

Enclosures No. Two

General No. 315
No.

Chief Engineers No 3063 of 13th July 1893. forwarding in original Chief Secretary's docket No 340/54 of 9th July 1893. and requesting to report on two Nankos in Nalaganahully Village Goribidnur Taluq

"

In reply I have the honor to submit for information copy of No III Sub Division Assistant Engineers Inspection Report dated 10th September 1893.

2. So far as is known to this Office Government does not

not seem to have spent any money on these two tanks up to date.

3 Original docket No 3063 is herewith returned.

Camp Gorakhpur
4th October 1893

Sd/ G. Srinivasa Rao
Executive Engineer
Kolar Division

at the left end or must breach the
low bund on the right. This tank
also has a very small catchment
But a feeder channel is cut to
it from Penakini near Nagasan
-dra. The feeder is in action
but requires slight repairs.

A third of the bund on the
right is overgrown with date
trees.

Revenue is said to be above
Rupees 200/- There is very
great demand for water
and the ryots desire that
the tank be taken over
and improved by the
Government.

Sd/- A. Rama Rao
Assistant Engineer
No III Pub Division

Inspection Report by.
K. Rama Rao B.E.
Assistant Engineer on Halaga-
nahulli Tanks Goribidnur Taluk.

Inspected the two tanks in
Halaganahully Village Gori-
-bidnur Taluk on 16-9-93. Patels
and Thanbhouges were present.
Govindappa grand Son of
Govindavadhanigal who is said
to have constructed the tanks
at first was also present

I Chickkakere Registered Ac. 200
The bund is about 27 grades
in length. The apron weir
33' long devides the bund into
two sections. The half of the
right section is all worn out.
A cut is made in the bund
to act as sluice. The left half
and the left section as well are
in pretty good order.

Revetment strong and to the
full level of the bund. The top
width and the rear slopes are
not well defind and are
overgrown.

overgrown with dato trees.
The clear height of the bund es
above the waste weir varies es
from 3 feet to 4½ feet. The tank
has no sluice.

The catchment is small.
but steep. The tank may be
fed from Dodkere if a channel
be cut.

The Revenue is said to be
below Rupees 200/-

II <u>Dodkere</u> close by the
village (Nalagandhilly)
Registered No 199. The length
of the bund is 46 yards. It
is throughout in good order
except at the very left end
where the village is situated
Rough stone revetment strong
and to the full height of bund.
Top width varies from 8' to 10'.
The tank has two masonry
Sluices of which one is broken
and out of order and the other
broken and buried. There is
no waste weir at all to the
tank. Surplus water must
pass over the natural ground
at

Rev.
23/10

Draft sent both
Regd No 6853
1147.
17/3

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.



From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 13th October 1893.
Despatched 19th
Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 1399
No. 351.

Sir With reference to your official memorandum No 341 R. 55 dated the 9th July 1893 asking for certain information in regard to each of the two Tanks in Nolagannahalli village Goribidnur Taluk I have the honor to submit herewith a statement received from the Amildar of Goribidnur through the Chikballapur Sub-Division Officer furnishing the particulars called for in the above.

The delay in submitting the report was due to the Amildar's statement having had to be returned to him more than once for want of complete informat

To
The same and keep to Pkt No
17378-9 & 12/4/94.
R793-92

Recd 31/10

information

A. Cunningham

for Deputy Commissioner.

17

199	398.26	72.25	214.3	685.14	1961.12	88/89	171.24	61..
						89/90	171.24	61.
						90/91	171.24	61
						91/92	171.24	61
						92/93	171.24	61
200	8.25	22.8	69.19	100.12	220.4.	88/89	8.25	2
						89/90	8.25	2
				785.26	2182	90/91	8.25	2
						91/92	8.25	2
						92/93	8.25	2

6 24/7/93.

548

Read:—

D. P. W. Secretary's Memo: No 4561 dated 7th October/93 forwarding Kolar Division Executive Engineer's No 315 of the 30th September/93, furnishing certain information in connection with the two tanks in Hulganhalli Village, Goribedanur Taluk.

also:— Docket No 1399/351 dated 13th October/93 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District, submitting a statement shewing the area & assessment of the lands under the said Tanks.

No 17378-9 / R.T. 93-92 / 12-4-94 Order thereon:—

Orders having already been passed in Govt Pro: No 4661-63 / R.T. 93-92 of the 9th September/93 for treating the

the tanks referred to as private tanks, the above letters will be recorded.

Draft for A/L

2. The Dy Commissioner will be requested to expedite the submission of the report called for in hand of the said order.

12/4/94
Asst Chief Secty.

D D

Do Secty D.C.W (returning orig papers rec'd)
" Dy Comm, Kalar District

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 2249

21 AUG. 94

A 563

43

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 17th }
Despatched 20 } August 1894.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 688
No. 108 1894-95.

Government Proceedings No:

4661-3 dated the 9th September 1893
R-793-92

requesting to report as to the use the new channel cut by one Adinarana-ppa to the Kodagi Tank of Hala-gonahalli in the Gorebidnore Taluk is now put to and whether it could in any way be utilized by Government.

Adverting to the above, has the honor to state that the Sub divisional officer, Chickballapur, reports that the channel referred to is now

New
11/8 11h

25/9/94.

mitted for orders with
ence to para 4 of Govt.
4661-3 dy 9th Septr/93
R7.93-93
No 36). A draft order
ding this letter is however
tted for approval or other

Secretary to Govt of Mysore
Legislative Department
27/9/94

— for feeding the tanks from the
Pinakini river and for irrigating the
lands of the Kadirenahalli village
and that the same will be a useful
feeder from the said river to the larger of
the two tanks when that is prepared —

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

(42)

1894 1668/-
27 APR 9

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

R-32-44

No. 2165

From

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 25th April 1894.

Despatched

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Stating that Pro: No. 17378-9
R.F. 93-92
of 12th inst. regarding the
tanks in Halgunhally village
Sonibidnur Taluq has not been
communicated to this office &c

Rev 27-4-94

Mysore Govt. C. P. Bangalore.—16-9-92—2,000.

Draft forwarded to Kolar Dr
Correct draft

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,

No. 2165.

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 25th April 1894.

From Lt Col: D. McNeil Campbell R.E.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Chief Secretary to Dewan
of Mysore

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 17378-9 R.F. 93-92 dated 12th instant, regarding the tanks in Halgunhally village Gonibidnur Taluk, has the honor to inform the Chief Secretary that copy of Proceedings No. 4661-63 R.F. 93-92 of 9th September 1893, therein referred to has not been communicated to this office though it is therein directed that the repairs should be executed "under the Supervision of this Department upon proper estimates framed for this purpose" The Proceedings having now been obtained from his office on requisition, a copy of it has just been sent to the Executive Engineer for early submission of the estimates, which have not yet been prepared owing to the omission pointed out above —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

D. Campbell Lt Col: R.E.

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

R.
Exd

44

Read Docket No. 688/108 dated the 17th August 1894. from the Dy. Commr. Kolar Dt. reporting that the Channel cut by one Adinaraniappa ~~of the Kodaiyatank~~ of Halaganhalli in the Goribidnur Taluk is used for feeding the tanks from the Pinakini river and for irrigating the lands of the Kadirenahally Village and that the Channel will be a useful feeder to the larger of the 2 tanks of the above ~~Kodaiyatank~~ Village when that is repaired

Do 6043/R.7.93-92 dt 3/10/94 13

Order thereon:-

Recorded.

27/9

Chf Secy.

To the Dy. Comr Kolar.

Draft for 116

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. _____
No.

125 (48)

4123

(Here enter letter No. 58188 dt. 11th November 1896 from the Chief Engineer in Mysore ~~to the Secretary to Government~~, submitting projects for restoring the 2 tanks, held on Kodagi tenure, in the Halaganahalli village, Goribidnur Taluk.)

Copy of the above together with its enclosures, (in original) is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Dist., with reference to Govt. Pro: No. 4661-63 / R.F. 93-92 dt. 9th September, 1893, directing the execution of the necessary repairs to the said tanks by the Kodagidars concerned, and with a request that he will be good enough to report ~~what steps have been taken~~ as early as practicable ~~by him to restore the tanks in question~~.

By Order.

17/11/96 Secretary.

with enclosures

No. 11 45-67 / R.F. 93A-92 dt 21.11.96.

Submitted to 2nd U/C
19-11-96

6.30 Pm.

20/11/96

~~he will call the Kodagidars and direct them to commence the work at once and complete it as early as practicable (under the supervision of the D.P.W.)~~

vide — General 4169 —
R 3017.

126

No. 398

8124

Survey Superintendents Office
Bangalore 19th December
1896

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to report with
reference to Government Proceedings
No. 4661-3 (56) dated 9th September
93-92
1893 that I find difficulty in
giving effect to the Orders therein
contained, to the effect that the
Hodigankalli tank in the Gori-
-bidnur Taluk is to be treated
as

irrigation

as private tank the Kodgidars being entitled to 1/5 of the assessment of the land irrigated under the same, minus the area which was under cultivation before the tank was repaired by the Kodgidars. The underlined portion of the preceding sentence represents my difficulty, in as much as it has been found impossible to identify the area which was under cultivation prior to the repair of the tank. All we can now ascertain is that 14 Kandis 12 Kolagas and

Gl. No. 3162
R. No. 2279
12 NOV. 96
MAHARAJA'S OFF

189 6/97

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 5818

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated Bangalore, 11th November 1896.

Despatched

Enclosures No. 5 Plans.
1 Tracing
2 Rev: Statements
2 Survey & Construction
Detail Statements
2 Reports
2 Estimates.

SUBJECT, Forwarding for disposal projects for restoring the large and small private Tanks of Halaganahally Village in the Gowribidnur Taluk, at their present Weir level amounting to Rs 45508 & 2930 respectively

Rev
12.11.96

Ref
12/11/96

Ref Routing
DM
16/11.

Ruled Letter drafted
DB
13/11/96

No. 5818

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 11th November 1896.

(47)

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

To The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 4661 (36) 63 / R.F. 93-92 dated 9th September 1893, and this office No. 2165 dated 25th April 1894, I have the honor to forward, for disposal, the accompanying projects, for restoring the large and small private Tanks of Halaganahully Village, Goribidnur Taluk, at their present weir levels amounting to Rupees 4,550 and 2,930 respectively, as modified in this office. The rate of Rs 1-4-0 for new stone work is high, the usual rate being about one rupee for which the Kodigedars may be able to carry out this item of work.

II On the Kodigedars' undertaking these works, the P.W. Sub Division Officer will see that they are properly carried out.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C. Chaves. Colonel.

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

ascertain (30) what particular portion
of these lands was under irriga-
=tion prior to the repair of the
tank by the Kadgidars. It is
impossible therefore to deal
with the tank in question as a
private tank and at the same
time observe the conditions
laid down in Government Pro.
Order No 4661-3 (36) dated 9th Septr
R.T 93-92
1893 that the area of the land
cultivated before the tank was
repaired by the Kadgidars must
be deducted, for, after much
correspondence with the Revenue
Authorities their inability to
identify that area is admitted.

5 Under the circumstances I venture to suggest a solution of the present difficulty which, although apparently much in favour of the Inamdar as compared with the concession directed by Government in the Proceedings now under reference, is not really unjust if it be borne in mind that if the repairs and extension of the tank effected by the Kadjidars were really effective the lands irrigated prior to such repairs and extension must also have come in for a considerable share of the improvement. I therefore suggest that instead of granting the Kadjidars

1/5

10 Padies assessed at Rs 162. 9. 11
were, according to the old accounts
as cultivated. Since the Survey
Settlement the assess=
=ment of the area cultivated
prior to the repairs by the
Kodgidars has been estimated
at Rs 755 but on what ground
or basis I cannot ascertain
and, as no payment has been
made since the introduction
of the Settlement to the Kodgi
=dars, they have had no oppor=
=tunity of challenging the
accuracy of the estimate.

2 In the case of private
tanks where the whole area under
irrigation

irrigation is subject to remission it is easy to deal with each Survey-Number separately and note the remission in the "Phasal Patrak" but in the present in-stance no such course is possible for reasons above explained

3 : After a great deal of correspondence I have ascertained that the whole of the land irrigated under the tank is as follows.

	Area A. G.	Assessment Rs. A. P.
Wet	94. 33	339. 0. 0
Garden	283. 22	1600. 0. 0
	378. 15	1939. 0. 0

The above land is all occupied

4 As above stated I cannot ascertain

1/5th of the wet and garden assess=
=ment less what was under irri=
=gation prior to repairs he should
get only 1/6th on the whole of the
wet and garden assessment, in
lands irrigated prior to repair
included. If this be done the
carrying out of the Order will
be simple and the arrears due
to the Inamdar can be easily
adjusted. I recommend there=
=fore the remission in favour of
the Khadgidar of 1/6th of the
total assessment of the wet
and gardens under the tank.

6 The 1/6th remission will
vary as the area of the land
irrigated

irrigated extends or recedes. The Kadjidar will of course be bound to keep the tank in order failing which as laid down in the Proceedings the remission will cease. The entire correspondence is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

H Sprunt
Supdt Mysore Revenue
Survey

Draft as per or...

(50)

Here copy letter No. 398 dy 19th December 1896 from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey in Mysore reporting with reference to Govt Pro: No. 4661-3 R.S. 93-92 dy 9th September 1893 sanctioning ~~the grant of~~ 1/5 of ~~the Kandayam~~ in favour of the Kodagidars of two tanks viz Halaganahalli ~~Tanks~~ in the Gorividanur Taluk, on the lands irrigated by the said Tanks minus ~~those~~ the area under cultivation prior to the repairs of the Tanks by the Kodagidars, that it is not possible to distinguish between lands that were under cultivation before the tanks were repaired by the Kodagidars and lands that were brought under cultivation thereafter ~~the repairs~~, and recommending that 1/6 of the assessment on the whole extent of garden and wet lands irrigated by the Tanks in question might be remitted in favor of the Kodagidars.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy Comr, Kolar Dt, with a request that information on the following points may be furnished as early as practicable

(1) What is the amount due from the Kod...

No. 8971/R2991 8/4/97 14

Kodigidars upto 2 in Cl: of 1896-97
on account of
Revenue
~~the Kandayam~~ which remains
unpaid? A Salwar statement of arrears is required. X

(2) What ~~is the amount is~~ due to them on
account of the remission
sanctioned in Govt. Proc: No.
4661-3 dy 9th September 1893
R.J. 93-92 X

X The calculation being made as suggested by the Supdt of Rev: Survey

The attention of the Dy Comr. is also drawn to the two estimates for Rs 7,480/- prepared by the D. P. W. ~~regarding~~ for the execution of certain repairs to the said Tanks ~~amounting to Rs 7480/-~~, which were sent to ~~the Dy C~~ him with this office No. 4567 dy 21st R.J. 93-92 November 1896, and he is requested to report in communication with Mr. Bilgiri Iyengar if the repairs to the tanks have been executed ~~together with a statement showing what is due to and from him~~.

By order.

9/4/97

9-4-97

Secretary.

7/4/97 8/4/97

on the abstract calculated at 1/6 of
the total assessment as suggested
by the Superintendent Revenue
Survey comes up to Rupees
570-2-8 (Vide English statements
appended to this letter):—

3 With reference to last para
of your memo under reply I beg
to state that S. Bilgeri Esquire
was asked in this office No 2318/1548
dated 17th December 1878 to state
whether he moved undertake to
carry out the works under the
Superintendence of the Public Works
Department but has not
signified his wishes up to date.
In reply, however to a reference from
this office forwarding the Estimates
and asking him whether he
moved carry out the works
according to the same, he had
requested for an early settlement
of the remission payable to him,
before he moved send an agent
to examine the requirements with

the

the Returnables forwarded to him and it is useless to expect a definite answer from him till a final decision is passed by Government as to the amount he may expect as remission. A copy of his letter is also enclosed for reference:-

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most ob. Servant

J. W. Mushinduspur
Asstt for Deputy Commissioner

Issue
Compd

Gen. Serial No. 311

51

1897

R. 923
R. 543

JUL 97

DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 26th July 1897

Despatched 29th do

Received

Enclosures No. 4 & Petn

General No. 433
No. 105

Reporting on the subject of the claim put forth by the Hodagidaru of Holgonahally Taluk, Goribidnur Taluk —

Rec'd 30/7/97

S

Submitted with reference for orders

Please see 2nd Counsellor's order of 4.4.97

2/8/97

Rec'd 31/5-6-97

Under Secy's 3-8-97

RD & Rm 4/8/97

The Dy Comr may intimate the amount due & from the Silgi Hagan and the Patwari submitted by Hodagi Subs and ask him to commence & carry out the repairs of the tank. When the balance due to him may be paid

17.8.97

Draft Subd

24/8/97

Draft Subd 18/8/97

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Dated 26th May 1897.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and Land Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your memo No 8971/R2991 (50) dated 9th April 97, I have the honor to submit for information, copy of Chickballapur Sub Division officer's docket No 36 of 12 instant, together with Vernacular correspondence received with the above.

2 It will be gathered from the amildar's report and statements submitted by him that the arrears of Jodi due from the Kodigedar amounts to Rupees 1158-14-3, while the remission payable to him on

2b 2a

From The Sub Division officer
Chikballapur

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

No 36

Dt. 12/16 July 1897.

With memo No 4258/2728 dated 23rd April last forwarding for report copy of Government Memorandum No 897/P.2991 of the 9th idem on the Survey Superintendent's letter to Government No 398 dated 19th December last regarding the claim of the Kadigidaru of Kalaganahally Taluk Jarikedane Talook for remission of 1/5th of the assessment.

With reference to the above has the honor to forward

Enclosed

Enclosed Jurisdiction Amildars report No 563 dated 28th Instant together with Statements furnishing information on the several points of the memo

The Kadirdars do not seem to have taken any steps to execute the repairs of the tanks till now.—

The Entire records bearing on the subject are herewith returned.—

S/ K S Doraswamy Iyer
Sub Dy Collector
Chikballapur

Copy
J Krishnaswamy
for Dy Commissioner

Issue
Copy
Hm

Agra Bank Buildings
Madras 21st Sept 96

To

The Commissioner
Kolar District

Sir

Your docket No 1242/833 dated 16th September 1896 with its 2 enclosures

I am much obliged to you for your communication ~~that the amount~~ of Panchamkissa which I am entitled to get will be intimated to me on receipt of the requisite information from the Survey Superintendent.—

The matter has been long pending disposal. I shall feel highly obliged if a reminder is sent to the Survey Department to dispose of the question at an early date and communicate to me the result of it. I shall send my agents to Kolar gradually to examine the requirements with the Estimates forwarded to me.

Re

the payment I expect from Government will considerably help me in the taking up of the work in hand at once.—

Yours obedient[ly]
S/ P Belgaum Engineer

"Copy"

J Kushwaha
for Dy Commissioner

Read

& correspondence
with letter No 433/105 dt 5th
July 1897 from the Asst
Commr, Kolar District
Submitting Statements Shewing
-ing the amount of Haveli Rent
due from Mr Biligiri Iyengar
for the years
~~from~~ 1876-77 to 1896-97 on the
garden and wet lands below
the Halaganahalli private
-enterprize tanks, Goribid-
-nur Taluk, and the amount
of 1/6th of their full assessment
payable to him from 1879-80
to 1896-97 as calculated by
the Supdt of Rev Survey
and Stating that Mr Biligiri
Iyengar requests early Settle
-ment of the amount due
to him, before he undertakes
to execute repairs to the
tanks with reference to the
estimate framed by the P.W.D.

Order thereon: —

In Govt Progs No 4661-63
R 7-93-93
Dated 9th Septr 1893, the Govt

Survey was
to treat the
as referred to as
tanks, the Kodagi
being entitled to $\frac{1}{5}^{th}$ /
Panchama kissa, of the
full assessment of the
lands irrigated under them
minus the area which was
under cultivation before
the tanks were repaired by
the Kodagidar, with effect
from the date on which the
Panchama kissa was dis-
-continued. With reference
to the above, the Supdt of
Revenue Survey suggests
that as it is not possible
to identify the area which
was under cultivation
prior to the repair of the
tanks, the Kodagidar
may be held entitled
to $\frac{1}{6}^{th}$ of the whole assess-
-ment on the wet and garden
lands inclusive
of those that were irrigated

Statement showing the amount of Kodgi Kandayam due from Mr Biligiri Iyengar for lands below Halaganahalli Tanks, Goribidnur Taluk, Kolar District

Year	Year or Salwar.	Village wise. Halaganahalli	Kadarenahalli	Total.	Remarks
1.	Datu — 1876-1877	" " "	35. 9. 3	35. 9. 3	
2.	Eswara — 1877-1878	" " "	57. 4. 0	57. 4. 0	
3.	Bahudanya 1878-1879	78. 14. 3	69. 6. 9	148. 5. 0	
4.	Pramadi — 1879-1880	32. 3. 3	11. 6. 9	43. 10. 0	
5.	Vikrama — 1880-1881	54. 1. 11	38. 7. 3	92. 9. 2	
6.	Vishu — 1881-1882	78. 14. 3	73. 9. 3	152. 7. 6	
7.	Chitrabhanu 1882-1883	78. 14. 3	73. 9. 3	152. 7. 6	
8.	Swabhanu — 1883-1884	78. 14. 3	73. 9. 3	152. 7. 6	
9.	Tarana — 1884-1885	21. 1. 1	73. 9. 3	94. 10. 4	
10.	Parthiva — 1885-1886	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
11.	Vijaya — 1886-1887	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
12.	Sarvajitu — 1887-1888	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
13.	Sarvadari — 1888-1889	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
14.	Virodhi — 1889-1890	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
15.	Vikruthi — 1890-1891	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
16.	Khara — 1891-1892	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
17.	Nandana — 1892-1893	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
18.	Vijaya — 1893-1894	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
19.	Jaya — 1894-1895	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
20.	Manmatha — 1895-1896	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
21.	Durmukhi — 1896-1897	19. 2. 0	" " "	19. 2. 0	
	Total Rupees	652. 7. 3	506. 7. 0	1158. 14. 3	

Dated Kolar 1st July 1897

"Copy"
M Krishnaiengar
for Dy Commissioner
Kolar District

(sd) J. Sreenivasa Rao
Amildar
Siddaghatta Taluk
28. 6. 97.

prior to the repairs
tanks.

2. Govt approve of
of the Supdt of Rev Su
and accordingly direct
Dy Commr to intimate
Mr Biligiri Iyengar the
amount due to him at
the rate of 1/6th of the annual
assessment on the wet and
garden lands, as well as the
Kandayam due from him
on the same lands, and ask
him to commence & carry
out the repair of the tanks
as already laid down in the Rev: above referred to
when the balance due to
him may be paid.

* Rs. 5701-2-8 from
1879-1880 to the end
of 1896-97, according to
Dy Commrs Statement.

@ Rs. 1158-14-3
due from 1876-1877
to 1896-97 - do -

C
24/8/97
Secretary

24/8/97 24/8/97

To
The Dy Commr, Kolar
District returning
the enclosures including
map &c.
" Supdt of Revenue
Survey, with
reference to his
No 398 & 19th Dec 1896.